# How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

#### Marion Grould Advisors Thibaut Paumard & Guy Perrin

GPhys's day, June 6<sup>th</sup> 2015









Laboratoire d'Études Spatiales et d'Instrumentation en Astrophysique

# OUTLINE

- 1. By studing the Galactic Center
- 2. By using GRAVITY
- 3. By building an apparent relativistic orbits model
- 4. By getting an accurate model

S cluster  $\rightarrow$  S2 Orbit M<sub>0</sub> = 4.31 ± 0.6 x 10<sup>6</sup> M<sub>0</sub>



0.5"

Gillessen S., et al. ApJ, 692, 1075 (2009)



GYOTO image of an accretion disk around a Schwarzschild black hole Apparent size of a Schwarzschild black hole seen from Earth (D  $\approx$  8 kpc):  $\Theta_{app} \approx 53 \mu as$ Biggest balck hole !



a) Is there a supermassive black hole at the center of our Galaxy ?  $\rightarrow$  Is there an event horizon ?



a) Is there a supermassive black hole at the center of our Galaxy ?  $\rightarrow$  Is there an event horizon ?



b) Is there a black hole described by the General Relativity in the Galactic Center ?



b) Is there a black hole described by a) Is there a supermassive black the General Relativity in the Galactic hole at the center of our Galaxy? Center? EHT (Event Horizon Telescope) GRAVITY Interferometer Interferometer VLBI: 13 stations VLTI: 4 telescopes Radio  $\rightarrow$  image of Sgr A\* Infrared  $\rightarrow$  stars Comparison of the orbits of stars close to Sgr A\* observed with **GRAVITY** and those obtained with the General Relativity 7 stations (2015) 13 stations (2020) Perfect image Simulation with a=0 and i=30° Fish & Doeleman, Proc. IAU Symp 261 (2010)

# 2. By using GRAVITY

#### Interferometer of 4 telescopes working in the K band (2-2.4 µm)



Angular resolution : 4 mas Research field of view : 2" Modes : Imaging et Astrometric Scientific field of view: 60 mas

# 2. By using GRAVITY

#### Interferometer of 4 telescopes working in the K band (2-2.4 µm)



Angular resolution : 4 mas Research field of view : 2" Modes : Imaging et Astrometric Scientific field of view: 60 mas Astrometric accuracy : 10 µas (< 53 µas)









Take into account several effects :  $\rightarrow$  time delay

Take into account several effects :

- $\rightarrow$  time delay
- $\rightarrow$  pericenter advance



Take into account several effects :

- $\rightarrow$  time delay
- $\rightarrow$  pericenter advance
- $\rightarrow$  Lense-Thirring effect



Take into account several effects :

- $\rightarrow$  time delay
- $\rightarrow$  pericenter advance
- $\rightarrow$  Lense-Thirring effect
- $\rightarrow$  lens effects



GYOTO : ray-tracing code developed by Vincent et al. (2011)



Apparent positions with GYOTO :



Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as - take into account the lens effects



Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

- take into account the lens effects
- integration of photons with GYOTO : validation of GYOTO in weak and strong field regimes

Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

- take into account the lens effects
- integration of photons with GYOTO : validation of GYOTO in weak and strong field regimes

Weak field regime :

comparaison of GYOTO with analytical formulas developed by Sereno et al. (2008) <u>For instance :</u> Einstein ring radius



Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

- take into account the lens effects
- integration of photons with GYOTO : validation of GYOTO in weak and strong field regimes

Weak field regime :

comparaison of GYOTO with analytical formulas developed by Sereno et al. (2008) <u>For instance :</u> Einstein ring radius



Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

- take into account the lens effects
- integration of photons with GYOTO : validation of GYOTO in weak and strong field regimes

Weak field regime :

comparaison of GYOTO with analytical formulas developed by Sereno et al. (2008) <u>For instance :</u> Einstein ring radius



Accuracy of the future model << 10  $\mu$ as  $\rightarrow$   $\leq$  1 $\mu$ as

- take into account the lens effects
- integration of photons with GYOTO : validation of GYOTO in weak and strong field regimes

Strong field regime:

comparaison of GYOTO with a semi-analytical ray-tracing code, GeoKerr, developed by Dexter et al. (2009)



#### Summury

How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate

How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate



How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate



How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate



How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate



How can we test the General Relativity with the future astrometric data of GRAVITY ?

- $\rightarrow$  importance of lens effetcs
- $\rightarrow$  GYOTO is valid and accurate

